## Appendix A: Details of scenario cost calculations

In the following, calculations of costs for small (up to $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ), medium ( $>100-1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) and large areas $\left(>1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ are shown in some detail. Only the low-cost alternative for optimistic as well as pessimistic assumptions for each area size was chosen for further cost-benefit analysis. We assume $1 \%$ increase of labor costs, $1 \%$ inflation rate and discounting rates of $1 \%, 2 \%$ and $3 \%$ per year. All calculations include $50 \%$ of the cost for after-treatment (if measure conducted) and monitoring ( $30 \%$ of labor costs). Additionally we added an excess burden of taxation at the rate of $15 \%$.

Table A 1. Scenario calculations for small areas (up to $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) with discount rate of $1 \%$

| Alternative | Small area | Calculation period in years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\bar{c}$ Costs in $€$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $0-1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Optimistic | Root destruction with shovel | 399 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 |
| Pessimistic | Root destruction with shovel | 399 | 37 | 369 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 378 | 39 | 39 | 40 |

For optimistic scenario implementation concerning small, medium and large areas (Table A1, A2 and A3; first row) no additional infestation of Heracleum mantegazzianum is assumed. For the pessimistic scenario, (Table A1, A2 and A3; second row) we calculate two additional treatments for all measures within a time period of ten years (e.g. re-infestation in third and seventh year; for chemical control, costs of renaturation are included). Both scenarios include $50 \%$ additional costs for after-treatment and $30 \%$ additional costs for monitoring ( $30 \%$ of labor costs) for each year.

Table A 2. Scenario calculations for medium areas ( $>100-1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) with discount rate of $1 \%$

| Alternative | Medium area | Calculation period in years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Costs in $€$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $0-1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Optimistic | Chemical control | 3,107 | 168 | 170 | 172 | 173 | 175 | 177 | 179 | 180 | 182 |
| Pessimistic | Chemical control | 3,107 | 168 | 2,961 | 172 | 173 | 175 | 2,991 | 179 | 180 | 182 |

For year ' 0 ' we assume cost of labor, cost of material for one treatment, after-treatment ( $50 \%$ of total costs) and monitoring ( $30 \%$ of labor costs). For year ' 1 ' we assume monitoring costs ( $30 \%$ of labor costs). The same conditions are suggested for the years ' 2 ' to ' 10 ' (time period of ten years) in optimistic scenario calculations. In pessimistic scenario calculations, the same conditions are suggested except for year ' 3 ' and year ' 7 ', where we assume re-infestation for the whole sites. For these two years, control, treatment and after-treatment (restoration for chemical control) are calculated.

Optimistic scenario calculations:
Costs $\left(\right.$ year $\left._{0}\right)=$ monitoring + labor + material
Costs (second year withDR of $1 \%$ ) $=\frac{\text { monitoring } * 1.02^{2}}{1.01^{2}}$
Costs $\left(\right.$ year $_{x}$ with DR of $\left.1 \%\right)=\frac{\text { Labor }^{*} 1.02^{x}}{1.01^{x}}$
Pessimistic scenario calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Costs}(3 \text { rd and } 7 \text { th y ear })=\frac{\text { monitoring } * 1.02^{x}}{1.01^{x}}+\frac{\text { labor } * 1.01^{x}+\text { material } * 1.02^{x}}{1.01^{x}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Except for year 3 and 7 pessimistic scenario is calculated as shown in the optimistic scenario analysis (1)-(3).

Table A 3. Scenario calculations for large areas ( $>1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) with discount rate of $1 \%$

| Alternative | Large area | Calculation period in years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Costs in $€$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0-1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Optimistic | Mechanical cutting | 33,523 | 636 | 643 | 649 | 656 | 662 | 669 | 675 | 682 | 689 |
| $\underline{\text { Pessimistic }}$ | Grazing | 11,322 | 3,791 | 3,804 | 3,818 | 3,833 | 3,847 | 3,862 | 3,877 | 3,893 | 3,909 |

Since grazing is a regularly conducted measure, we assume grazing as pessimistic scenario, meaning that re-infestations could appear at any time within 10 years. For year ' 0 ' costs of labor and materials are calculated (5). For the following years ' 2 ' to ' 10 ' costs of labor and running costs are calculated (6) and (7).

Costs $\left(\right.$ year $\left._{0}\right)=$ labor + material
Costs (second year withDR of $1 \%)=\frac{\text { Labor } * 1.02^{2}+\text { running } \cos t s * 1.01^{2}}{1.01^{2}}$
Costs $\left(\right.$ y ear $_{x}$ with DR of $\left.1 \%\right)=\frac{\text { Labor } * 1.02^{x}+\text { running } \cos t s * 1.01^{x}}{1.01^{x}}$

